# The George Washington University Trachtenberg School of Public Policy and Public Administration

# PPPA 6032 - MANAGING FUNDRAISING AND PHILANTHROPY SPRING, 2024

(*Updated 12/20/23*)

## GENERAL COURSE INFORMATION

PPPA 6032.10 CRN 97769 Managing Fundraising and Philanthropy Tuesdays, 6:10 – 8:00 pm

## PROFESSOR INFORMATION

Michael J. Worth Professor of Nonprofit Management

Office: 805 21st Street, NW, 601-S, Washington, DC 20052

E-mail: mjworth@gwu.edu

Office hours: Spring, 2024 office hours will be announced by the professor. Students are encouraged to make an appointment for in-office or virtual meetings with the professor. Email is the best way to contact the professor for a prompt reply.

#### COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course provides a comprehensive overview of fundraising for nonprofit organizations and institutions. Topics covered include the historical, cultural, and legal foundations of philanthropy; positioning the organization for fundraising; characteristics and motivations of donors; corporate-nonprofit partnerships; grant-making foundations; roles of staff and volunteers; strategies and techniques for identifying, cultivating, and soliciting donors; ethical principles; managing complex fundraising programs; emerging trends in fundraising and philanthropy; and relevant policy issues. Corporate and foundation fundraising are covered in the course, but there is an emphasis on developing financial support from individual donors through annual funds, campaigns, and major and planned gifts. The focus of the course is on fundraising in the United States, but many principles will also be applicable in the international environment. There is no course prerequisite.

## **AUDIENCE**

The course is appropriate for students interested in careers in fundraising or in leadership positions in the management of all types of nonprofit organizations and institutions. It also may be of value for those pursuing careers in philanthropic organizations such as foundations or other philanthropic intermediary organizations.

## **LEARNING OUTCOMES**

Students completing this course will be able to: 1) Describe the cultural and legal context of American philanthropy; 2) Explain the motivations for philanthropic giving by individuals and other donors; 3) Identify appropriate fundraising objectives, strategies, and techniques for different types of organizations and situations; 4) Evaluate emerging new models and approaches; and 5) Assess potential legal, policy, and ethical issues related to fundraising and philanthropy.

#### GRADING AND METHODS OF EVALUATION

## 15% of course grade: class participation

Students are expected to attend class sessions and participate in discussions. Attendance will be taken in every class session. Attendance policies follow those of the Trachtenberg School of Public Policy and Public Administration. The professor may make brief assignments to students in preparation for class discussions, which will count toward class participation.

# 15% of course grade: case study

Working in groups, students will prepare a brief presentation on a nonprofit organization. See <u>Attachment I</u> to this syllabus for further explanation. This case-study will account for 15 percent of the course grade. The professor will assign a grade to each <u>group</u> and that grade will then be incorporated in the individual course grade of each student.

## 40% of course grade: two brief papers (20% each)

<u>Twice</u> during the semester, the professor will assign questions related to a case. The questions will require students to apply concepts from reading and class discussion to analysis of the case. Answers are to be written at home and are to be submitted <u>via email</u>. A Word document is preferred. The paper may not exceed <u>5 pages</u>, double-spaced. Each brief paper counts for 20% of the course grade.

Articles related to the first case (*Catherine Reynolds and the Smithsonian Institution*) are available on Blackboard and can be read at any time. The second case (*The Campaign for Spelman College*) is available from the Harvard Graduate School of Education

(<a href="https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/cases/the-campaign-for-spelman-college/">https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/cases/the-campaign-for-spelman-college/</a>). The case can be downloaded and read at any time. *Students will be required to pay \$7.95 to download the case. Note:* The textbook also includes a case study of the Smithsonian and Catherine Reynolds, but Blackboard includes a longer article that should be read as the basis for this paper.

## 30% of course grade: course paper or project

Students will complete a course paper or a project, on a topic of their choosing that is related to the course. The paper or project may take several forms, as explained on the last page of this syllabus. Students are strongly encouraged to identify the topic of their paper as early in the semester as possible, not later than week 8. Formal approval of the topic is not required, but students are strongly encouraged to discuss their topic ideas with the professor in a meeting or via email to make sure that they are appropriate. Students should be prepared to give a <u>brief</u> summary of their work in class beginning in the next-to-final class session, with the understanding that the paper itself may not be completed by that time.

The completed paper/project work product should be submitted via email by the date indicated. <u>See Attachment II</u> to this syllabus for suggestions of paper/project ideas.

GRADING STANDARDS

COURSE POLICIES

TIME COMMITMENT

See Attachment III

See Attachment III

## REQUIRED READING

#### Book:

Worth, M. J., <u>Fundraising: Principles and Practice</u>. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2016. ISBN 9781483319520

(An electronic version of this text is available <u>without charge</u> on ProQuest Ebooks, accessible through the Gelman Library site. Hard copies are also available for purchase at various sites.)

# Case Study (purchase):

Students will be required to pay \$7.95 to the Harvard Graduate School of Education to download the case "The Campaign for Spelman College."

https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/cases/the-campaign-for-spelman-college/

#### Blackboard and Web:

Additional required readings are available on Blackboard or the Web, as indicated in this syllabus. The professor also may assign modest additional reading as the semester progresses, for example a recently-published article.

## RECOMMENDED READING

Recommended reading is suggested for students who may wish to know more about a particular topic. It is optional.

## WEBSITES

Blackboard includes links to websites that are good general sources of information on fundraising, philanthropy, and related topics. Students may find some of these sites helpful in identifying paper topics and as resources. They are not required reading unless indicated in the course outline.

# COURSE OUTLINE, ASSIGNMENTS, AND CLASS SESSION PLAN

SESSION 1 JANUARY 16, 2024 COURSE INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

**Required reading**: No reading is required in advance of the first session.

## Class session plan:

- 1) Introductions by students and professor
- 2) Professor's pathetic opening jokes
- 2) Professor's overview of course

SESSION 2 JANUARY 23, 2024 PRINCIPLES OF FUNDRAISING AND PHILANTHROPY

Required reading: BOOK: Worth, Chapters 1, 2, 4, 5 (Consider the questions related to the case of the American Red Cross in Chapter 5.) (Note: Students who have taken a course on nonprofit management may skip Chapter 2--or read it for a refresher.) BLACKBOARD: Brest 2012, "A Decade of Outcome-Oriented Philanthropy" (This article is dated but makes some important points that are still very relevant.)

**Recommended reading**: **BOOK:** Worth, Chapter 15 (International Fundraising and Philanthropy). This course is primarily focused on fundraising and philanthropy in the United States. Students who have an international interest may find this chapter to be a useful overview. **BLACKBOARD**: (1) Swindoll, 2015, The Future of Fundraising; (2) Foster et al. 2009. Ten Nonprofit Funding Models (It's ok to just give this a quick read to get the general idea.);

## Class session plan:

- 1) Lecture/discussion
- 2) Discussion of American Red Cross case
- 3) Discussion of Brest article
- 4) **Identification of volunteers** to present on giving platforms next week. [Note their capabilities and unique features.] Possibilities include: Facebook Fundraisers (<a href="https://www.facebook.com/fundraisers/">https://www.facebook.com/fundraisers/</a>); Indiegogo, (<a href="https://www.donorschoose.org/">https://www.donorschoose.org/</a>); GoFundMe, (<a href="https://www.gofundme.com/">https://www.gofundme.com/</a>), Network for Good (<a href="https://www.networkforgood.com/">https://www.networkforgood.com/</a>).

**SESSION 3** 

**JANUARY 30, 2024** 

INDIVIDUAL DONORS PART 1: BUILDING THE BASE OF SUPPORT

**Required reading: BOOK**: Worth, Chapters 3, 6 (including chapter questions)

Recommended reading: BLACKBOARD: (1) Faulk et al., 2019, Donors Responses to Profit Incentives; (2) Dixon & Keyes, 2013, Permanent Disruption of Social Media; (3) Chapman et al., 2019, The Champion Effect; (4) Birkholz, 2018, Philanthropy and Digital Civil Society

# Class session plan:

- 1) Lecture/discussion
- 2) Overview of selected giving platforms by student volunteers
- 3) **First group meeting** on mini-case study (about 10-15 minutes). Identify 2-3 organizations in which the group **may** be interested and provide names to the professor, to assure no duplication among groups. During the next week, do some preliminary research to see if information is readily available on these organizations, in other words, to determine if there is enough information to make them a focus of your case study. See Attachment I to this syllabus for details on the assignment.

SESSION 4 FEBRUARY 6, 2024 INDIVIDUAL DONORS, PART 2: MAJOR GIFT PROGRAMS

**Required reading: BOOK**: Worth, Chapter 7; **BLACKBOARD**: Case: *Silicon Valley Community Foundation* 

Recommended reading: BLACKBOARD: (1) Bank of America Study, 2021; (2) Bekkers and Wiepking, 2011, A Literature Review of Empirical Studies: Eight Mechanisms That Drive Charitable Giving; (3) Eikenberry and Mirabella, 2017, Extreme Philanthropy; (4) Wallace, 2019, A.I. Is Ready for Fundraising; (5) Stepping Off the Sidelines (report), (6) Bezos, \$200 million, (7) Bezos Suggests Naming, (8) Worth et a., (2020). Understanding Motivations of Mega-Gift Donors to Higher Education: A Qualitative Study

# FIRST BRIEF PAPER ASSIGNED: Catherine Reynolds and the Smithsonian Institution

(Two articles pertaining to this case are available on Blackboard. Questions will be assigned this week that relate to the case and require students to apply concepts from reading and class discussion. A brief version of this case is included at the end of Chapter 2 of the textbook, but students should also read the longer articles on Blackboard.)

## **Class session plan:**

- 1) Lecture/discussion
- 2) Discussion of Silicon Valley Community Foundation case
- 3) Overview of Reynolds case questions
- 4) **Second group meeting** (10-15 minutes) on mini case study. Finalize selection of the organization and inform the professor. Assign roles to group members.

#### **SESSION 5**

**FEBRUARY 13, 2024** 

GUEST SPEAKER - BILL STRATHMANN, FORMER CEO, NETWORK FOR GOOD

## FIRST BRIEF PAPER DUE

#### Class session plan:

- 1) Guest speaker
- 2) Discussion of Reynolds case

#### **SESSION 6**

**FEBRUARY 20, 2024** 

INDIVIDUAL DONORS PART 3: PLANNED GIVING

**Required reading: BOOK**: Worth, Chapter 8; **BLACKBOARD**: (1) Reich, 2020, On #GivingTuesday; (2) Hopkins, 2020, Don't Tie the Hands

## Class session plan:

- 1) Lecture/discussion
- 2) Discussion of Reich, Hopkins articles

2) Discussion of hypothetical donor cases (at end of Chapter 8)

SESSION 7 FEBRUARY 27, 2024 CAMPAIGN PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

<u>Required reading/viewing</u>: **BOOK**: Worth, Chapter 11 (including question for discussion at chapter end); **BLACKBOARD**: Worth, "Campaigns: New Directions"

**SECOND BRIEF PAPER ASSIGNED**: The Campaign for Spelman College (This case can be downloaded from the Harvard Graduate School of Education, <a href="https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/cases/the-campaign-for-spelman-college/">https://hep.gse.harvard.edu/cases/the-campaign-for-spelman-college/</a> Students will be required to pay a fee of \$7.95.

## Class session plan:

- 1) Lecture/discussion
- 2) Viewing and discussion of selected campaign materials
- 3) Overview of Spelman case questions

SESSION 8 MARCH 5, 2024 CORPORATE AND FOUNDATION SUPPORT

#### SECOND BRIEF PAPER DUE

Required Reading: BOOK: Worth, Chapters 9, 10

## Class session plan:

- 1) Lecture/discussion
- 2) Discussion of Spelman College case
- 3) **Third group meeting** on mini-case study. Discuss findings and finalize assignments for group's presentation in class session 10.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### MARCH 12, 2024 – NO CLASS – SPRING BREAK

\_\_\_\_\_\_

SESSION 9 MARCH 19, 2024 GUEST SPEAKER - TBD

SESSION 10 MARCH 26, 2024 MINI-CASE GROUP PRESENTATIONS

Each presentation will be about 20-25 minutes, leaving time for discussion.

SESSION 11 APRIL 2, 2024 MANAGING FUNDRAISING PROGRAMS

Required reading: BOOK: Worth, Chapters 12, 13; BLACKBOARD: charity:water case

**Recommended reading: BLACKBOARD:** (1) Newman et. al., 2019, "Do the Ends Justify the Means?" (2) Fine and Kanter (2020), Rehumanizing Fundraising With Artificial Intelligence

## Class session plan:

- 1) Lecture/discussion
- 2) Discussion of charity: water case

SESSION 12 APRIL 9, 2024 LEGAL, ETHICAL, AND POLICY ISSUES

**Required reading/viewing: BOOK**: Worth, Chapter 14; **BLACKBOARD**: (1) Dunn, 2010, "Strategic Responses by a Nonprofit When a Donor Becomes Tainted"; (2) Farhi, "Thomas Friedman's Columns" (3) Review the ethics scenarios handout on Blackboard and <u>identify scenarios you wish to discuss in class</u>.

**Recommended reading: BLACKBOARD:** How Compliance Affects Grantseeking

# **Class session plan:**

- 1) Viewing and discussion of Epstein case video: "The MIT Epstein Story" <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hrnd4dm6eew">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hrnd4dm6eew</a>
- 2) Discussion of selected ethics scenarios from handout (on Blackboard)

SESSION 13 APRIL 16, 2023 TBD

Depending on class size and other considerations, some students may be called upon for presentations related to their final papers. Other alternatives may include discussion of additional cases that the professor will assign or additional topics that have arisen during the course. The professor will announce plans for this session well in advance.

SESSION 14 APRIL 23, 2024 DISCUSSION OF STUDENT FINAL PAPERS/PROJECTS

Students will make brief presentations about their final papers. The papers need not be completed at this point. The length of the presentation and discussion will be determined depending on class size.

## **WEEK 15**

Submission and review of final paper. Deadline for submission will be announced by the professor.

# ATTACHMENT I CASE STUDY PROJECT

The class will be divided into groups, the number depending on class size. Each group will collaborate on a case study of a selected nonprofit organization. The case study will involve publicly-available materials and sources, as suggested below. It is <u>not</u> necessary to undertake original research, such as interviews. Each group will make a class presentation of about 20-25 minutes. The questions to be addressed and possible sources of information include:

- 1) Briefly, what is the mission and program of this organization? [website, annual report]
- 2) What are the sources of its funding—government, fees, memberships, gifts? How important is philanthropy as a part of its mix? [website, annual report, Form 990]
- 3) What are its primary sources of gift revenue—individuals, corporations foundations? [website, annual report, annual report on philanthropy, if available]
- 4) What fundraising resources are provided on its website, for example, a giving page, donor recognition, information on planned giving, and so forth? [website]
- 5) What are its fundraising priorities? Is it conducting a campaign or just raising funds for ongoing support? [website]
- 6) What development staffing is identified on the website (i.e., number of people and key positions)? [website]
- 7) Are there opportunities that you see for increasing support, including perhaps new sources, a better online presence, or something else?
- 8) Anything else that the group thinks is interesting or noteworthy about this organization and its fundraising program?

These case studies are meant to be **brief**. No written work is required, except for notes to be used in the class presentation. Most groups develop a <u>PowerPoint</u> for their presentations.

This mini case-study will account for 15 percent of the course grade. The professor will assign a grade to the entire group and that grade will then be incorporated in the individual course grade of each student.

# ATTACHMENT II SUGGESTIONS FOR COURSE FINAL PAPER OR PROJECT

Below are some suggestions of approaches to the course paper/project.

(1) A case study of a nonprofit organization related to fundraising. Writing a case study requires identifying strategic resource development issues faced by the organization and analyzing its efforts to address those issues, not merely providing a summary of the organization's programs. The case study should be related to resource development, but this could include broader topics regarding nonprofit governance or management if they have an impact on an organization's ability to generate resources. In

many instances, a good case study can be written from publicly available materials. In other instances, it may be important to undertake interviews with the organization's leadership to gain a full understanding. There is no prescribed length for a case study, but most are about 15-18 pages, including references.

- (2) <u>A critical survey of the literature on a subject related to the course</u>. The paper should reflect the student's critical thinking rather than just summarize the material, for example, identifying themes and issues in the literature and analyzing various approaches. Assigned readings may stimulate thinking about paper topics. Reading the *Chronicle of Philanthropy* is also a good way to identify topics that are of current interest in the nonprofit sector. [It is available via Gelman Library.] The professor will discuss possible paper topics in class, but students should select topics of particular interest to them. A course paper is usually about 15-18 pages in length, including references.
- (3) An analysis of an ethical or policy issue related to the course. The paper should analyze both sides of the issue and take a position, supported with reasoned arguments and data. For example, there are significant issues related to tax policy, methods for evaluating and compensating development officers, regulation of foundations and donor-advised funds, and many others. This type of paper is usually about 15-18 pages in length, including references.
- (4) Other projects related to the course. The professor is open to creative projects that are of sufficient magnitude and relevance to the course, for example, developing a fundraising plan or a plan for corporate partnerships. The project needs to be <u>substantive</u>, not just a volunteer experience (e.g., participating in a fundraising event). The project should involve applying knowledge gained through readings and discussions in this course. Students should discuss project ideas with the professor in advance of beginning work.

Students who are employed at a nonprofit may do a case study or project related to that organization, but <u>only if</u> it represents work outside of their normal job responsibilities and is over and above what they are required to do in their jobs. In other words, you cannot submit a work product from your employment to meet the requirements of this course.

Students may voluntarily choose to work together with <u>up to two other students</u> as a team to complete a significant project or case study. This requires the professor's prior approval, which will be given only for projects that represent a <u>very substantial</u> body of work, worthy of the efforts of multiple individuals.

Projects need to result in a tangible product that the professor can evaluate. For example, if a student were to develop a fundraising plan or undertake an assessment for a nonprofit organization, the professor would expect to see the written plan or report. In addition, the student must submit a memorandum reflecting on the project. This memorandum should describe how the project was conducted — what meetings were held at the nonprofit, what documents and materials were used, etc. It should also summarize what was learned through the experience. If the project has been undertaken by a team, the memorandum should describe the role played by each team member.

## ATTACHMENT III ADDITIONAL COURSE INFORMATION

(The following policies are an integral part of this course syllabus.)

## **GRADING STANDARDS**

Letter grades will be provided for all written assignments. The scale used in this course is as follows:

A Excellent: Exceptional work for a graduate student. Work at this level is unusually thorough, well-reasoned, creative, sophisticated, and well written.

A- Very Good: Very strong work for a graduate student. Shows signs of creativity and a strong understanding of issues, is thorough and well-reasoned.

B+ Good: Sound work for a graduate student; well-reasoned and thorough, without serious shortcomings. This grade indicates the student has fully accomplished the basic course objectives.

B Adequate: Competent work for a graduate student with some evident weaknesses. Demonstrates competency in the key course objectives but the understanding or application of some important issues is less than complete.

[Note: Any lower grade signifies significant skill deficiencies, inadequate engagement/effort in the class (e.g., late assignments), and/or other issues.

A letter grade will be assigned for class participation. A student who attends all class sessions can anticipate a grade no lower than A- for this component of the course grade. Students who are absent from classes without communication with the professor, and a valid reason, may receive a lower grade. In order to earn an A for class participation, the student must have been noticeably active in class discussions.

#### **COURSE POLICIES**

Written assignments are due on the day indicated in this syllabus. The grade for the paper will be lowered by one-half grade (e.g., A becomes A-) for every day that the paper is late, unless the professor has granted an extension. Extensions may be granted only under certain circumstances, consistent with the above policies. The professor reserves the right not to accept work that is very late and to assign a failing grade to such work.

<u>Civility in the Classroom</u>: Higher education works best when it becomes a vigorous and lively marketplace of ideas in which all points of view are heard. Free expression in the classroom is an integral part of this process. At the same time, higher education demands that all of us approach the enterprise with empathy and respect for others, irrespective of their ideology, political views, or identity.

The George Washington University (GW) and its faculty are committed to creating a safe and open learning environment for all students. If you or someone you know has experienced sexual harassment, including sexual assault, dating or domestic violence, and stalking, please know that help and support are available. GW strongly encourages all members of the community to take action, seek support, and report

incidents of sexual harassment to the Title IX Office. You may contact the Title IX Office at 202-994-7434 or at <a href="mailto:titleix@gwu.edu">titleix@gwu.edu</a> or learn more by visiting titleix.gwu.edu. Please be aware that faculty members are required to disclose information about suspected or alleged sexual harassment or other potential violations of the Title IX Sexual Harassment and Related Conduct Policy to the Title IX Office. If the Title IX Office receives information about an incident, they will reach out to offer information about resources, rights, and procedural options as a member of the campus community. Community members are not required to respond to this outreach. If you, or another student you know, wishes to speak to a confidential resource who does not have this reporting responsibility, please contact Counseling and Psychological Services through the Colonial Health Center 24/7 at 202-994-5300, or the Office Of Advocacy and Support at 202-994-0443 or at <a href="mailto:oas@gwu.edu">oas@gwu.edu</a>.

#### **GW CAMPUS EMERGENCY INFORMATION**

GW Emergency Services: 202-994-6111

For situation-specific instructions, refer to GW's Emergency Procedures guide.

#### **GW** Alert

GW Alert is an emergency notification system that sends alerts to the GW community. GW requests students, faculty, and staff maintain current contact information by logging on to alert.gwu.edu. Alerts are sent via email, text, social media, and other means, including the Guardian app. The Guardian app is a safety app that allows you to communicate quickly with GW Emergency Services, 911, and other resources. Learn more at safety.gwu.edu.

# **Protective Actions**

GW prescribes four protective actions that can be issued by university officials depending on the type of emergency. All GW community members are expected to follow directions according to the specified protective action. The protective actions are Shelter, Evacuate, Secure, and Lockdown (details below). Learn more at safety.gwu.edu/gw-standard-emergency-statuses.

### **Shelter**

- Protection from a specific hazard
- The hazard could be a tornado, earthquake, hazardous material spill, or other environmental emergency.
- Specific safety guidance will be shared on a case-by-case basis.

#### Action:

• Follow safety guidance for the hazard.

### **Evacuate**

- Need to move people from one location to another.
- Students and staff should be prepared to follow specific instructions given by first responders and University officials.

## Action:

- Evacuate to a designated location.
- Leave belongings behind.
- Follow additional instructions from first responders.

## Secure

- Threat or hazard outside of buildings or around campus.
- Increased security, secured building perimeter, increased situational awareness, and restricted access to entry doors.

#### Action:

- Go inside and stay inside.
- Activities inside may continue.

## Lockdown

- Threat or hazard with the potential to impact individuals inside buildings.
- Room-based protocol that requires locking interior doors, turning off lights, and staying out of sight of corridor window.

## Action:

- Locks, lights, out of sight
- Consider Run, Hide, Fight

#### USE OF ELECTRONIC COURSE MATERIALS

Students are encouraged to use electronic course materials, including recorded class sessions, if any, for private personal use in connection with their academic program of study. Electronic course materials and recorded class sessions, if any, should not be shared or used for non-course related purposes unless express permission has been granted by the instructor. Students who impermissibly share any electronic course materials are subject to discipline under the Student Code of Conduct. Please contact the instructor if you have questions regarding what constitutes permissible or impermissible use of electronic course materials and/or recorded class sessions.

## TIME COMMITMENT

This is a three-credit graduate course. The semester is 15 weeks. Instruction, including class sessions, will require 2 hours per week for 14 weeks. Required reading and preparation of papers outside of class is expected to require, on average, 6.0 hours per week during the first 14 weeks and 8 hours during week 15. The total student time commitment is estimated to be 120 hours (8.0 hours per week for 15 weeks).